

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JARRITOS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

No. C 05-02380 JSW

v.

LOS JARRITOS, et al.,

Defendants.

**ORDER DENYING WITHOUT
PREJUDICE ADMINISTRATIVE
MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL**

On February 22, 2007, the parties jointly moved to file under seal the deposition pages of exhibit 2 of Plaintiff Jarritos, Inc.'s opposition to Defendants' motion for sanctions. Exhibit 2 had been publicly filed by Plaintiff two days prior.

As a public forum, the Court will only entertain requests to seal that establish good cause and are narrowly tailored to seal only the particular information that is genuinely privileged or protectable as a trade secret or otherwise has a compelling need for confidentiality. Documents may not be filed under seal pursuant to blanket protective orders covering multiple documents. In addition, counsel should not attempt to seal entire pleadings or declarations without a particularized showing explaining why the request could not be more narrowly tailored. Any order granting a request to seal shall direct the sealing of only those documents, pages, or if practicable, those portions of documents or pages that contain the information requiring confidentiality. All other portions of such documents shall remain in the public file. Civil L.R. 79-5(b) & cmt.

1 The parties' request to file under seal simply states that the document contains a
2 description of Defendants' accounting practices. It is not evident to the Court, however, from a
3 review of the document in question that the deposition pages indeed contain information that is
4 genuinely privileged or protectable as a trade secret or otherwise has a compelling need for
5 confidentiality. Accordingly, the request is DENIED without prejudice to the parties making a
6 proper showing that confidentiality is necessary.

7 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

8
9 Dated: February 26, 2007



JEFFREY S. WHITE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE